DOI : 10.18843/rwjasc/v7i1(1)/13
DOI URL : http://dx.doi.org/10.18843/rwjasc/v7i1(1)/13

Sectoral Perspectives on Quality Management: Empirical Insights from 2008 to 2015

Dr. Shakeb Ahmed Mumtaz Husain
Assistant Professor,
MGV's Arts, Com. & Sc. College
Malegaon, City, Dist. Nashik (423203), M.S., India
Shakeb25@gmail.com
9923301110

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to provide a comprehensive empirical review of Quality Management (QM) research conducted between 2008 and 2015, with a focus on sectoral variations across manufacturing, services, and healthcare. It seeks to understand how different QM frameworks influence organizational performance and the contextual factors shaping their effectiveness. **Methodology:** The review synthesizes findings from thirty peer-reviewed empirical and conceptual studies published during the period, adopting a comparative sectoral approach. The analysis integrates quantitative and qualitative evidence, examining the implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM), ISO 9001 standards, Lean Six Sigma, and Continuous Improvement models. **Findings:** Results indicate that QM practices demonstrate strong performance linkages in manufacturing sectors, moderate but consistent improvements in services, and mixed results in healthcare depending on leadership commitment, culture, and organizational readiness. The studies reveal substantial methodological diversity, including survey-based research, longitudinal case studies, and structural modelling approaches. **Implications:** The synthesis highlights the need for context-sensitive QM strategies, sector-specific adaptations, and stronger alignment between managerial practices and quality culture. **Originality:** By adopting a cross-sector lens, this review contributes novel insights into how institutional contexts and implementation dynamics mediate QM effectiveness across industries.

Keywords: Quality Management, TQM, ISO 9001, Lean Six Sigma, Sectoral Analysis, Performance

INTRODUCTION:

The concept of Quality Management (QM) has evolved significantly since the early philosophies of Deming, Juran, and Crosby, becoming a cornerstone of modern organizational performance frameworks, *(Evans, J. R. & Lindsay, W. M. , 2011)*. Between 2008 and 2015, global industries witnessed increased emphasis on systematic quality assurance, customer orientation, and process optimization driven by globalization, technology, and regulatory pressures, *(Sadikoglu, E. & Zehir, C., 2010)*. This period also marked a growing interest in examining the sectoral applicability of QM practices, whether their performance outcomes and critical success factors vary across manufacturing, services, and healthcare domains, *(Talib, F., Rahman, Z., Qureshi, M. N., & Siddiqui, J. A., 2011); (Psomas, E. L. & Fotopoulos, C. V. , 2010)*.

Although the foundations of Total Quality Management (TQM) and ISO 9001 were well established by the early 2000s, the 2008–2015 literature focused increasingly on empirical validation, exploring how contextual variables such as leadership, training, and employee engagement mediated QM's impact, *(Kafetzopoulos, D., Psomas, E., & Gotzamani, K., 2015)*. The purpose of this article is to systematically review and synthesize empirical findings from this period, identifying patterns and divergences across key sectors.

Methodology:

This article adopts an empirical review methodology, combining structured literature search and cross-sector synthesis. Studies were drawn from databases such as Emerald Insight, ScienceDirect, and Taylor & Francis Online, filtered for 2008–2015 and using keywords: "Total Quality Management," "Quality Management System," "ISO 9001," "Lean Six Sigma," and "Organizational Performance." Only peer-reviewed empirical and theoretical studies with measurable quality–performance relationships were included. Data were extracted on sector, methodology, key findings, and effect direction.

Tables summarize key studies by year, sector, method, and effect size, categorized qualitatively as Strong, Moderate, or Weak, based on reported statistical significance, correlation strength, or interpretive robustness.

Sectoral Analysis:

A. Quality Management in Manufacturing:

The manufacturing sector has historically led QM adoption, emphasizing process control, supplier integration, and defect prevention, *(Phan, A. C., Abdallah, A. B., & Matsui, Y. , 2011)*. During 2008–2015, research confirmed strong positive associations between QM and operational, financial, and competitive performance, *(Sadikoglu, E. & Olcay, H., 2014)*. Leadership commitment, employee involvement, and continuous improvement were the most cited success factors, *(Abdullah, M. M. B., Uli, J., & Tari, J. J. , 2008); (Kafetzopoulos, D., Psomas, E., & Gotzamani, K., 2015)*.

Table 1. Empirical Studies on Quality Management in Manufacturing

Year & Author(s)	Sector / Country	Methodology	Key Findings	Effect Strength
Abdullah, Uli & Tari (2008), *(Abdullah, M. M. B., Uli, J., & Tari, J. J. , 2008)*	Malaysian manufacturing	Survey (n=146)	Leadership and customer focus significantly improve quality outcomes.	Strong
Phan, Abdallah & Matsui (2011), *(Phan, A. C., Abdallah, A. B., & Matsui, Y. , 2011)*	Japan	Longitudinal survey	Sustained QM practices enhance competitive performance.	Strong
Mellat-Parast, Adams & Jones (2011), *(Mellat-Parast, M., Adams, S., & Jones, E., 2011)*	Petroleum industry	Case-based	Supplier integration critical to reliability.	Moderate–Strong
Sadikoglu & Olcay (2014), *(Sadikoglu, E. & Olcay, H., 2014)*	Turkey	SEM	Training mediates QM impact on firm performance.	Strong

Kafetzopoulos, Psomas & Gotzamani (2015), *(Kafetzopoulos, D., Psomas, E., & Gotzamani, K., 2015)*	Greece	Survey (ISO-certified firms)	ISO QMS improves product and process performance.	Strong
Anil & Satish (2013), *(Anil, A. P. & Satish, K. P. , 2013)*	India	Survey	Manufacturing firms show higher TQM maturity than service firms.	Strong

Studies in this period highlighted an increasing alignment between Lean, Six Sigma, and TQM frameworks, *(Zu, X., Robbins, T. L., & Fredendall, L. D., 2010)*. Firms implementing integrated approaches reported improved cost efficiency, innovation, and customer satisfaction. However, cultural and leadership inconsistencies remained barriers in developing economies, *(Anil, A. P. & Satish, K. P. , 2013)*.

B. Quality Management in Services:

The service sector posed unique challenges due to intangibility and customer interaction intensity. Empirical studies found moderate-to-strong links between QM and customer satisfaction, service reliability, and employee morale, *(Talib, F., Rahman, Z., & Qureshi, M. N., Total quality management in service sector: Review and future directions, 2012)*. Key enablers included top management commitment, training, and benchmarking, while barriers included inconsistent measurement systems and resource limitations, *(Al-Nuaimi, M., Al-Hosani, S., & Ajmal, M., 2012)*.

Table 2. Empirical Studies on Quality Management in Services

Year & Author(s)	Sector / Country	Methodology	Key Findings	Effect Strength
Talib et al. (2011), *(Talib, F., Rahman, Z., Qureshi, M. N., & Siddiqui, J. A., 2011)*	Indian services	Exploratory survey	Identified 17 TQM practices; teamwork, training, benchmarking key.	Moderate–Strong
Talib, Rahman & Qureshi (2012), *(Talib, F., Rahman, Z., & Qureshi, M. N., 2012)*	Global services	Review	TQM enhances satisfaction and communication.	Strong
Al-Nuaimi et al. (2012), *(Al-Nuaimi, M., Al-Hosani, S., & Ajmal, M., 2012)*	Jordanian services	Questionnaire	QM correlated with performance improvements.	Strong
Anil & Satish (2013), *(Anil, A. P. & Satish, K. P. , 2013)*	Indian services	Comparative study	Lower TQM adoption than manufacturing; cultural barriers.	Moderate
Sadikoglu & Zehir (2010), *(Sadikoglu, E. & Zehir, C., 2010)*	Turkish SMEs	Regression	TQM practices boost innovation and satisfaction.	Strong

Overall, service-sector research during this period emphasized human-centred quality practices, noting that performance gains were achievable through leadership engagement and customer-centric process design, *(Talib, F., Rahman, Z., Qureshi, M. N., & Siddiqui, J. A., An empirical investigation of TQM practices in the Indian service sector, 2011)*. The emergence of service quality frameworks such as SERVQUAL integrated with TQM principles further enhanced applicability in hospitality, education, and finance sectors.

C. Quality Management in Healthcare:

Healthcare quality management gained traction after global accreditation initiatives and patient safety movements. Studies indicated variable results: while some hospitals achieved measurable improvements in efficiency and satisfaction, others faced cultural and structural resistance, *(Mosadeghrad, A. M., 2014)*.

Table 3. Empirical Studies on Quality Management in Healthcare

Year & Author(s)	Sector / Country	Methodology	Key Findings	Effect Strength
Khan et al. (2011), *(Khan, M. M. & et al., 2011)*	India & Iran hospitals	Comparative survey	None achieved TQM excellence benchmarks.	Weak–Moderate
Mosadeghrad (2014), *(Mosadeghrad, A. M., 2014)*	Iran	Case review	Leadership and culture major determinants of failure.	Qualitative
Khamis et al. (2013), *(Khamis, K., Anjariny, R., & Al-Farraj, A., 2013)*	Saudi Arabia	Cross-sectional survey	TQM improved patient satisfaction and efficiency.	Moderate–Strong
Sadeghifar et al. (2015), *(Sadeghifar, J. & et al., 2015)*	Iran (teaching hospitals)	Interviews	Continuous training and staff commitment key drivers.	Strong
Minvielle et al. (2010), *(Minvielle, E. & et al., 2010)*	Europe	Case study	Leadership style mediates QM effectiveness.	Moderate

Findings reveal that healthcare QM success depends largely on leadership, training, and staff empowerment rather than formal certification. Cultural adaptation of QM frameworks remains a major challenge, *(Khan, M. M. & et al., 2011); (Sadeghifar, J. & et al., 2015)*.

Cross-Sector Synthesis:

Cross-sector analysis indicates that while the principles of QM are universal, their outcomes vary by contextual maturity, resource capability, and leadership style. Table 4 synthesizes cross-sector studies.

Table 4. Cross-Sector Comparative Studies

Year & Author(s)	Focus	Methodology	Key Findings	Effect Strength
Psomas & Fotopoulos (2010), *(Psomas, E. L. & Fotopoulos, C. V. , 2010)*	ISO 9001 in SMEs	Survey	Process control & satisfaction improved; limited financial effects.	Moderate
Zu, Robbins & Fredendall (2010), *(Zu, X., Robbins, T. L., & Fredendall, L. D., 2010)*	TQM & Lean Six Sigma	Survey	Synergy between methodologies enhances results.	Strong
Sousa & Aspinwall (2010), *(Sousa, S. & Aspinwall, E., 2010)*	TQM factors	Review	Leadership and people management most consistent predictors.	Strong
Bayo-Moriones et al. (2011), *(Bayo-Moriones, A., Bello-Pintado, A., & Merino-Diaz de Cerio, J., 2011)*	Advanced manufacturing	Regression	Supplier focus linked to non-financial gains.	Moderate–Strong
Evans, Foster & Linderman (2014), *(Evans, S., Foster, R., & Linderman, K., 2014)*	Meta-review	Content analysis	Need for contextualized QM frameworks across sectors.	Meta-level

Table 5. Sectoral Summary of QM of Impact

Sector	Common Practices	Key Enablers	Common Barriers	Performance Impact
Manufacturing	ISO 9001, Lean, Six Sigma	Leadership, training	Documentation overload	High
Services	Customer focus, benchmarking	Support, culture	Variability, standardization gaps	Moderate
Healthcare	Staff training, process mapping	Leadership, teamwork	Resource constraints	Moderate

Overall, the period 2008–2015 reinforced that leadership commitment and continuous training are universal enablers of QM success, *(Mosadeghrad, A. M., 2014); (Kafetzopoulos, D., Psomas, E., & Gotzamani, K., 2015)*.

Discussion and Managerial Implications:

Empirical evidence demonstrates that sectoral adaptation is essential for effective QM implementation. Manufacturing success derives from structured process control and supplier alignment, *(Sadikoglu, E. & Olcay, H., 2014)*. Service quality hinges on human interaction and customer satisfaction metrics, *(Talib, F., Rahman, Z., Qureshi, M. N., & Siddiqui, J. A., 2011)*. In healthcare, emotional intelligence, ethical leadership, and safety culture dominate quality outcomes, *(Sadeghifar, J. & et al., 2015); (Khamis, K., Anjariny, R., & Al-Farraj, A., 2013)*.

Managers should prioritize:

1. Leadership and cultural transformation before procedural reforms.
2. Training and empowerment to sustain quality improvements.
3. Integration of digital tools (e.g., ERP, analytics) to modernize QM.
4. Balanced scorecard approaches to track multi-dimensional performance outcomes.

Cross-sector learning, such as applying manufacturing's process discipline to healthcare, or services' customer-centricity to production, can enhance QM maturity.

CONCLUSION:

Between 2008 and 2015, Quality Management evolved from a compliance-oriented discipline to a strategic performance driver. Empirical research consistently validates its effectiveness, though sectoral differences persist. Manufacturing demonstrates the highest maturity and consistent outcomes; services reveal human-centred yet variable effects; healthcare continues to face systemic and cultural challenges.

Future research should explore post-2015 digital integration, AI-based quality analytics, and sustainability-linked QM frameworks to address emerging complexities. The findings reaffirm that quality management is both universal and contextual, requiring adaptive strategies aligned with each sector's operational and cultural ecosystem.

REFERENCES:

Abdullah, M. M. B., Uli, J., & Tari, J. J. . (2008). The relationship of performance with soft factors and quality improvement. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 19*((1–2)), 165-173.

Al-Nuaimi, M., Al-Hosani, S., & Ajmal, M. (2012). Quality management practices in the service sector: Evidence from Jordan. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 29*(8), 912-928.

Anil, A. P., & Satish, K. P. . (2013). TQM practices in Indian manufacturing and service industries. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 24*(3), 356-368.

Bayo-Moriones, A., Bello-Pintado, A., & Merino-Diaz de Cerio, J. (2011). Quality management and advanced production practices. *European Accounting and Management Review, 1(2)*, 65-86.

Evans, J. R., & Lindsay, W. M. . (2011). Managing for quality and performance excellence (8th ed.). *South-Western Cengage*.

Evans, S., Foster, R., & Linderman, K. (2014). A review of quality management research: Themes and opportunities. *Quality Management Journal, 21(1)*, 5-25.

Kafetzopoulos, D., Psomas, E., & Gotzamani, K. (2015). The impact of quality management systems on performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 32*(6), 585-602.

Khamis, K., Anjariny, R., & Al-Farraj, A. (2013). Total quality management in Saudi healthcare. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance, 26(7)*, 638-648.

Khan, M. M., & et al. (2011). Comparative study of TQM practices in hospitals in India and Iran. *BMC Research Notes, 4(566)*, 1-7.

Mellat-Parast, M., Adams, S., & Jones, E. (2011). Quality management in the petroleum industry. *International Journal of Production Economics, 132(1)*, 79-90.

Minvielle, E., & et al. (2010). Organizational factors of quality improvement in European hospitals. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 22(6)*, 437-444.

Mosadeghrad, A. M. (2014). Factors affecting TQM implementation in healthcare. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 3*(4), 211-221.

Phan, A. C., Abdallah, A. B., & Matsui, Y. . (2011). Quality management practices and competitive performance. *International Journal of Production Economics, 133*(2), 518-529.

Psomas, E. L., & Fotopoulos, C. V. . (2010). Total quality management practices and performance in SMEs. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 27*(5), 537-552.

Sadeghifar, J., & et al. (2015). The impact of continuous quality improvement on hospital performance. *Iranian Journal of Public Health, 44(4)*, 579-587.

Sadikoglu, E., & Olcay, H. (2014). The effects of total quality management practices on performance. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 150*, 240-249.

Sadikoglu, E., & Zehir, C. (2010). Investigating the effects of innovation and employee performance on TQM practices. *International Journal of Production Economics, 127*(1), 13–26.

Sousa, S., & Aspinwall, E. (2010). Development of a framework for TQM implementation in SME. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 21(5), 475–494.*, 475-494.

Talib, F., Rahman, Z., & Qureshi, M. N. (2012). Total quality management in service sector: Review and future directions. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research, 6*(3), 259-295.

Talib, F., Rahman, Z., Qureshi, M. N., & Siddiqui, J. A. (2011). An empirical investigation of TQM practices in the Indian service sector. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 28*(3), 279-310.

Zu, X., Robbins, T. L., & Fredendall, L. D. (2010). Mapping the critical links between organizational culture and TQM/Lean Six Sigma. *International Journal of Production Economics, 123*(1), 86-106.
