

Social Media Marketing Research: A Comprehensive Empirical Assessment

Dr. Shakeb Ahmed Mumtaz Husain

Assistant Professor,

MGV's Arts, Com. & Sc. College

Malegaon, City, Dist. Nashik (423203), M.S., India

Shakeb25@gmail.com

9923301110

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This review synthesizes empirical and conceptual research on social media marketing (SMM) published from 2010 to 2017 to clarify key concepts, mechanisms, outcomes, and managerial implications.

Methodology: We conducted a structured literature review across leading marketing, information systems, and business journals, selecting empirical and high-impact conceptual studies published between 2010 and 2017. Studies were coded for theoretical lens, methods, platforms examined, outcomes, and managerial implications. **Findings:** The literature converges on core themes like, definitional clarity and typologies of social media; customer engagement and user motives; creative/content strategies that drive engagement; social CRM and firm capabilities; and measurable firm outcomes when social initiatives are integrated with other marketing activities. Empirical results are heterogeneous; strong engagement/awareness effects are common, while direct sales effects are context-dependent and often mediated. **Implications:** Managers must adopt capability and integration perspectives, aligning content, metrics, CRM, and organizational processes, to convert social interactions into performance gains. **Originality:** By consolidating empirical findings across disciplinary lenses and platforms, this review clarifies practical mechanisms linking social media activity to marketing outcomes and highlights measurement and causal challenges for future research.

Keywords: Social Media Marketing, Customer Engagement, Social CRM, Content Strategy, Measurement,

INTRODUCTION:

Social media transformed marketing practice during the 2010s, offering firms unprecedented channels for communication, co-creation, and customer relationship building, (Kaplan, A. M. & Haenlein, M., 2010). One example is digital marketing and social media, (Tiago, M. T. P. M. B. & Veríssimo, J. M. C., 2014); (Tuten, T. L. & Solomon, M. R., 2015). Scholars responded by investigating what social media is (functional building blocks), how consumers engage with brand content, and whether (and how) social activity translates into firm outcomes, (Van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., & et al., 2010); (Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S., 2011). Between 2010 and 2017 the field matured from descriptive accounts toward rigorous empirical work that tested mechanisms, content characteristics, user motivations, network seeding, and integration with CRM and offline media, that shape outcomes, (Hinz, O., Skiera, B., Barrot, C., & Becker, J. U., 2011); (De Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. S. H., 2012); (Kumar, A., Bezawada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K., 2016). This article synthesizes core empirical findings across this period, identifies consistent patterns and unresolved tensions, and recommends managerial and research priorities.

Methodology:

We performed a structured search of Web of Science, Scopus, JSTOR, ABI/INFORM, and publisher platforms for studies published 2010–2017 using keywords: “social media marketing,” “customer engagement,” “social CRM,” “brand posts,” “viral marketing,” and “social media content.” We prioritized E-ISSN:2229-4686■ISSN:2231-4172■ <http://www.researchersworld.com>■ Vol.-X, Issue-1, January 2019 [79]

peer-reviewed empirical papers and high-impact conceptual pieces. Each study was coded for year, platform(s), method, theoretical lens, dependent variables (e.g., engagement, brand equity, sales), and key findings. Emphasis was placed on studies that offered causal tests, large-sample analyses, or robust field experiments. The approach is integrative rather than exhaustive; the selected corpus represents influential and methodologically diverse contributions within the 2010–2017 window.

Definitional and Conceptual Foundations:

A recurring need in early SMM scholarship was definitional clarity. Kaplan and Haenlein (2010), (*Kaplan, A. M. & Haenlein, M., 2010*), provided an influential definition and classification of social media (Web-2.0 applications and user-generated content), while Kietzmann et al. (2011), (*Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S., 2011*), proposed the “honeycomb” of seven social media functions (identity, conversations, sharing, presence, relationships, reputation, groups) to guide managerial thinking. These frameworks oriented subsequent empirical investigations by identifying the functional heterogeneity of platforms (e.g., Facebook vs. Twitter vs. YouTube), which matters for content design and metrics, (*Kaplan, A. M. & Haenlein, M., 2010*); (*Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S., 2011*).

Key Empirical Themes:

A. Customer Motivations and Engagement:

Scholars articulated and measured consumer motives and engagement behaviours in social contexts. (*Pansari, A. & Kumar, V., 2017*). Muntinga, Moorman, and Smit (2011), (*Muntinga, D. G., Moorman, M., & Smit, E. G., 2011*), introduced COBRAs (consumers’ online brand-related activities) and identified motives of information, entertainment, and reward. Van Doorn et al. (2010), (*Van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., & et al., 2010*), and Brodie et al. (2011), (*Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Juric, B., & Ilic, A., 2011*), developed the customer engagement construct and its consequences, while Hollebeek (2014), (*Hollebeek, L. D., 2014*), validated scales measuring cognitive, emotional, and activation dimensions of consumer brand engagement in social media. Empirical work shows that engagement mediates between firm content and downstream outcomes such as WOM, loyalty, and purchase intent, (*Van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., & et al., 2010*); (*Hollebeek, L. D., 2014*).

B. Content Characteristics and Creative Strategy:

Which posts elicit likes, comments, shares, and purchase behaviour? De Vries et al. (2012), (*De Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. S. H., 2012*), showed that vividness and interactivity relate to post popularity on Facebook. Cvijikj and Michahelles (2013), (*Cvijikj, I. P. & Michahelles, F., 2013*), and Ashley and Tuten (2015), (*Ashley, C. & Tuten, T., 2015*), stressed content type, media richness, and creative appeals as drivers of engagement. Hutter et al. (2013), (*Hutter, K., Hautz, J., Dennhardt, S., & Füller, J., 2013*), demonstrated that user interactions on brand pages raise brand awareness and purchase intention, but negative reactions (annoyance) can harm outcomes, highlighting the double-edged nature of engagement.

C. Viral Seeding and Network Effects:

Hinz et al. (2011), (*Hinz, O., Skiera, B., Barrot, C., & Becker, J. U., 2011*), provided experimental evidence about seeding strategies for viral marketing, demonstrating that seeding well-connected individuals can be more effective than naive seeding. The studies in this stream emphasize the role of network structure and contagion dynamics in amplifying marketing messages and the limits of purely organic diffusion without intentional seeding.

D. Social CRM and Firm Capabilities:

Research moved beyond content to firm capabilities, arguing that technology alone is insufficient; instead, firms need social CRM capabilities that combine technology, processes, and customer-centric systems, (*Malthouse, E. C., Haenlein, M., Skiera, B., Wege, E., & Zhang, M., 2013*); (*Trainor, K. J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., & Agnihotri, R., 2013*). Trainor et al. (2013), (*Trainor, K. J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., & Agnihotri, R., 2013*), found that social media technology use enhances customer relationship performance when coupled with customer-centric management systems. This capability lens explains heterogeneity in firm outcomes.

E. SMM and Firm Outcomes: From Awareness to Sales:

Several rigorous studies investigated whether social media activity affects economic outcomes. Stephen

and Galak (2012), (*Stephen, A. T. & Galak, J., 2012*), compared traditional and social earned media, finding both affect sales, traditional media has larger per-event impact while social media's frequency yields high elasticity. Kumar et al. (2016), (*Kumar, A., Bezawada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K., 2016*), showed that firm-generated content (FGC) on social platforms can influence spending and cross-buying, especially when integrated with TV and e-mail. Overall, the evidence suggests clear effects on awareness and engagement, and conditional effects on sales depending on integration, content, and measurement.

Measurement, Methods, and Empirical Findings:

The 2010–2017 literature employed diverse methods, like netnography and qualitative case studies, (*Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Juric, B., & Ilic, A., 2011*), content analyses, (*Cvijikj, I. P. & Michahelles, F., 2013*); (*Ashley, C. & Tuten, T., 2015*), field experiments, (*Hinz, O., Skiera, B., Barrot, C., & Becker, J. U., 2011*), large observational analyses of post metrics, (*De Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. S. H., 2012*), and longitudinal firm-level econometric models, (*Kumar, A., Bezawada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K., 2016*). Common dependent measures included likes/comments/shares (engagement metrics), brand awareness/brand equity (survey or panel measures), WOM and sentiment (text analytics), and purchase behaviour/sales (transactional data).

Findings across methods converge on several points: (a) content that provides utilitarian information, entertainment, or social value tends to elicit engagement, (*Muntinga, D. G., Moorman, M., & Smit, E. G., 2011*); (*De Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. S. H., 2012*); (b) engagement is a necessary but not sufficient precursor to sales, integration with broader marketing communications and CRM matters, (*Trainor, K. J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., & Agnihotri, R., 2013*); (*Kumar, A., Bezawada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K., 2016*); (c) social media can strengthen brand equity in sectors like luxury when content aligns with brand identity, (*Kim, A. J. & Ko, E., 2012*); (*Godey, B., et al., 2016*); (*Phan, M., Thomas, R., & Heine, K., 2011*); and (d) measurement remains a challenge, platform metrics are noisy and can be gamed, and attribution across channels requires careful design, (*Stephen, A. T. & Galak, J., 2012*); (*Lamberton, C. & Stephen, A. T., 2016*).

Managerial Implications:

From the review, three actionable recommendations emerge:

1. **Adopt a capability perspective.** Investment in social media platforms must be paired with social CRM processes, analytics, and customer-centric systems to convert engagement into relationship and sales outcomes, (*Malthouse, E. C., Haenlein, M., Skiera, B., Wege, E., & Zhang, M., 2013*); (*Trainor, K. J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., & Agnihotri, R., 2013*).
2. **Design content strategically.** Use evidence-based content strategies: leverage vivid multimedia, interactivity, incentives, and message appeals that match platform affordances and audience motives, (*De Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. S. H., 2012*); (*Cvijikj, I. P. & Michahelles, F., 2013*); (*Ashley, C. & Tuten, T., 2015*).
3. **Integrate channels and measure carefully.** Social activity should be integrated with traditional media and owned channels; design experiments and attribution models to assess incremental impact, (*Stephen, A. T. & Galak, J., 2012*); (*Kumar, A., Bezawada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K., 2016*).

Organizations that ignore integration and capability development risk generating engagement without commercial return, or worse, inciting negative reactions that damage brand equity, (*Hutter, K., Hautz, J., Dennhardt, S., & Füller, J., 2013*).

Gaps and Future Research Directions:

The 2010–2017 literature made rapid progress but left key gaps. Causal attribution of sales to social activity remains difficult; more field experiments and randomized field interventions are needed, (*Hinz, O., Skiera, B., Barrot, C., & Becker, J. U., 2011*). Platform heterogeneity requires comparative platform studies (e.g., Facebook vs. Instagram vs. Snapchat) and cross-platform strategy research, (*Lamberton, C. & Stephen, A. T., 2016*). Also, governance and ethical questions, privacy, consumer fatigue, and misinformation, were underexplored in the period and merit greater attention, (*Pentina, I. & Tarafdar, M., 2014*). Finally, more longitudinal firm-level work is needed to quantify long-term brand equity effects and the role of organizational routines in sustaining social capabilities, (*Trainor, K. J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., & Agnihotri, R., 2013*); (*Kumar, A., Bezawada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K., 2016*).

CONCLUSION:

Between 2010 and 2017, social media marketing matured from conceptual positioning to an empirical field with robust findings about consumer motives, content strategies, and the conditions under which social activity translates into economic value. The literature consistently shows that social media drives engagement and awareness; conversion to sales depends critically on content quality, organizational capabilities (social CRM), integration with other channels, and measurement rigor. For managers, the central imperative is to align social media investments with process capabilities and to experiment deliberately with content and attribution approaches. For scholars, the priority remains causal identification, cross-platform comparison, and studying organizational factors that convert social interactions into sustained value.

REFERENCES:

Ashley, C., & Tuten, T. (2015). Creative strategies in social media marketing: An exploratory study of branded social content and consumer engagement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 32(1), 15–27.

Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Juric, B., & Ilic, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14(3), 252–271.

Cvijikj, I. P., & Michahelles, F. (2013). Online engagement factors on Facebook brand pages. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 3(4), 843–861.

De Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. S. H. (2012). Popularity of brand posts on brand fan pages: An investigation of the effects of social media marketing. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26(2), 83–91.

Godey, B., Manthiou, A., Pederzoli, D., Rokka, J., Aiello, G., Donvito, R., & Singh, R. (2016). Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: Influence on brand equity and consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5833–5841.

Hinz, O., Skiera, B., Barrot, C., & Becker, J. U. (2011). Seeding strategies for viral marketing: An empirical comparison. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(6), 55–71.

Hollebeek, L. D. (2014). Consumer brand engagement in social media: Conceptualization, scale development and validation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(2), 149–165.

Hutter, K., Hautz, J., Dennhardt, S., & Füller, J. (2013). The impact of user interactions in social media on brand awareness and purchase intention: The case of MINI on Facebook. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 22(5/6), 342–351.

Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68.

Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S. (2011). Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media. *Business Horizons*, 54(3), 241–251.

Kim, A. J., & Ko, E. (2012). Do social media marketing activities enhance customer equity? An empirical study of luxury fashion brand. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(10), 1480–1486.

Kumar, A., Bezwada, R., Rishika, R., Janakiraman, R., & Kannan, P. K. (2016). From social to sale: The effects of firm-generated content in social media on customer behavior. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(1), 7–25.

Lamberton, C., & Stephen, A. T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing research's evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 146–172.

Malthouse, E. C., Haenlein, M., Skiera, B., Wege, E., & Zhang, M. (2013). Managing customer relationships in the social media era: Introducing the social CRM house. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(4), 270–280.

Muntinga, D. G., Moorman, M., & Smit, E. G. (2011). Introducing COBRAs: Exploring motivations for brand-related social media use. *International Journal of Advertising*, 30(1), 13–46.

Pansari, A., & Kumar, V. (2017). Customer engagement: The construct, antecedents, and consequences. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(3), 294–311.

Pentina, I., & Tarafdar, M. (2014). From information to knowing: Exploring the role of social media in contemporary news consumption. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 35, 211–223.

Phan, M., Thomas, R., & Heine, K. (2011). Social media and luxury brand management: The case of Burberry. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 2(4), 213–222.

Stephen, A. T., & Galak, J. (2012). The effects of traditional and social earned media on sales: A study of a microlending marketplace. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49(5), 624–639.

Tiago, M. T. P. M. B., & Veríssimo, J. M. C. (2014). Digital marketing and social media: Why bother? *Business Horizons*, 57(6), 703–708.

Trainor, K. J., Andzulis, J., Rapp, A., & Agnihotri, R. (2013). Social media technology usage and customer relationship performance: A capabilities-based examination of social CRM. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(9), 1764–1770.

Tuten, T. L., & Solomon, M. R. (2015). Social media marketing (2nd ed.). *Sage*.

Van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., & et al. (2010). Customer engagement behavior: Theoretical foundations and research directions. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 253-266.

